## Gian Camillo Manzoni Paola Torelli

## **Epidemiology of migraine**

G.C. Manzoni (国) • P. Torelli Headache Centre, Institute of Neurology, University of Parma, Strada del Quartiere 4, I-43100 Parma, Italy e-mail: manzoni@ipruniv.cce.unipr.it paolatorelli@libero.it

Tel.: +39-0521-232866 Fax: +39-0521-234010

Abstract The 1988 International Headache Society (IHS) classification has greatly contributed to overcoming the obstacles that had made it difficult in the past to compare results of surveys on headache epidemiology. For migraine without aura, the more recent studies of the general adult population indicate lifetime prevalence rates between 6% and 10% for men and between 15% and 26% for women. One-year prevalence rates are between 2% and 15% for men and between 4% and 35% for women. Rates of frequent migraine are 6% in men and 14% in women. Migraine occurs in 3%-6% of prepubertal children with no significant differences between girls and boys. The prevalence of migraine without aura increases progressively from the age of 12 years up to about the age of 40, when it begins to record a gradual, progressive decrease down to 1%–4% in the elderly. For migraine with aura, recent epidemiological investigations by Rasmussen and Olesen indicate a lifetime prevalence rate of 6% and a one-year prevalence rate of 4%.

**Key words** Migraine • Migraine without aura • Migraine with aura • Epidemiology

Over the last decade, epidemiological investigations have greatly contributed not only to define the actual extent of migraine occurrence, but also to determine its actual impact on work and social life. Migraine prevalence studies have always been limited by objective methodological constraints. Therefore, analysis and interpretation of the large amount of data reported in the literature on this subject is not possible without a careful consideration and a critical evaluation of the different procedural approaches adopted so far.

The first issue to be considered is the definition of migraine. As migraine is a clinical entity whose diagnosis is not based on laboratory or other tests, but only on the evaluation of a patient's history, its epidemiology can only be investigated using accurate, unquestionable, sufficient and reliable diagnostic clinical criteria.

Certainly, the diagnostic tools commonly used prior to the introduction of the IHS classification [1] did not meet any such criteria. Indeed, the criteria set by Vahlquist [2], in use before 1962, were not comprehensive enough, while those set by the Ad Hoc Committee [3], in use between 1962 and 1988, provided only a vague, generic description of migraine rather than a detailed list of clinical features to be considered for diagnosis. By contrast, the 1988 IHS classification [1] does include at least some of the criteria that are required for a correct prevalence study of migraine.

A second issue is the peculiar pattern of migraine attacks, which requires that the presence or absence of attacks be investigated within a precise interval of time. Therefore, the occurrence of migraine may be researched at any time of a patient's life (lifetime prevalence), or in the last year, in the last week, or even in the last 24 hours. In a few studies, not always consistent with the approved procedural guidelines, but nonetheless of some clinical significance, investigators researched cases with a "frequent" occurrence of migraine, i.e. patients to whom migraine does pose a problem.

Another important aspect in the methodological discussion is the prevalence study population. Surveys conducted in groups that are representative of the general population are certainly more reliable than surveys conducted in select groups of subjects.

Finally, the major procedural issue is the methods to be followed and the tools to be used for a correct execution of an epidemiological survey. Ideally, a headache specialist should personally interview all subjects in the study population. Obviously this approach is hardly feasible in practice. Instead, door-to-door personal interviews could be taken by trained non-medical operators; alternatively, a screening could be done of subjects who are likely to suffer from migraine, using phone calls or mailing, followed by personal interviews with all suspected migraineurs. In any event, the interviewer uses a specially designed, validated questionnaire, which is currently structured according to the diagnostic criteria of the IHS classification [1].

Prevalence data for migraine without aura from procedurally correct studies suggest a lifetime prevalence rate between 6% and 10% for men and between 15% and 26% for women (Table 1) [4–7]. One-year prevalence rates are between 2% and 15% for men and between 4% and 35% for women [5, 8–12], and rates of frequent migraine are 6% for men and 14% for women (Table 1) [13].

For migraine with aura, last-year prevalence rates varied between 0.5% and 8.0% in studies conducted prior to the IHS classification [14–16] and between 3% and 11% in later studies [5, 17, 18] (Table 2). Rasmussen and Olesen, in particular, reported a lifetime prevalence rate of 6% and a one-year prevalence rate of 4% for migraine with aura [19]. More

recent studies should be credited with a higher degree of reliability because they tend to conform to more accurate methodological criteria. It is also true, however, that in the specific case of migraine with aura, older sets of data are more likely to be close to reality, because the IHS diagnostic criteria for migraine with aura are such as to easily lead to a prevalence overestimate, if they are strictly applied to population studies.

Most epidemiological studies show that migraine prevalence not only varies with gender but also with age. Before puberty, migraine occurs in about 3%-5% of children, with no significant differences between males and females. The differences in prevalence between the two sexes begin to appear at puberty. A U.S. epidemiological survey demonstrated that migraine prevalence increases progressively in both sexes from the age of 12 years up to about the age of 40, when it begins to record a gradual, progressive decrease [11]. This evolution accounts for the very low prevalence rates (1%-4%) of migraine in the elderly.

**Table 2** The one-year prevalence of migraine with aura in the general population

One-year prevalence	Pre-IHS %	3–10 5–11	
Men	0–2		
Women	0–8		
References	[14–16]	[17–19]	

IHS, International Headache Society

Table 1 The prevalence of migraine without aura in the general population

Study	Year	Country	Men, %	Women, %	Total, %
Lifetime prevalence					
Crisp et al. [4]	1977	Great Britain	10	26	NG
Rasmussen et al. [5]	1991	Denmark	8	25	NG
Henry et al. [6]	1992	France	6	18	NG
Göobel et al. [7]	1994	Germany	7	15	NG
One-year prevalence					
Waters et al. [8]	1975	Great Britain	15	23	NG
Nikiforow [9]	1981	Finland	11	35	NG
D'Alessandro et al. [10]	1988	San Marino	9	18	NG
Rasmussen et al. [5]	1991	Denmark	6	15	10
Stewart et al. [11]	1992	USA	6	18	NG
Tekle-Haimanot et al. [12]	1995	Ethiopia	2	4	NG
Frequent migraine prevalence					
Manzoni et al. [13]	1981	Italy	6	14	11

NG, not given

## References

- Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society (1988) Classification and diagnostic criteria for headache disorders, cranial neuralgias and facial pain. Cephalalgia 8[Suppl 7]:1–96
- 2. Vahlquist B (1955) Migraine in children. Int Arch Allergy 7:348–355
- 3. Ad Hoc Committee on Classification of Headache (1962) Classification of headache. JAMA 179:717–718
- Crisp AH, Kalucy RS, McGuinness B, Ralph PC, Harris G (1977) Some clinical, social and psychological characteristics of migraine subjects in the general population. Postgrad Med J 53:691–697
- Rasmussen BK, Jensen R, Schroll M, Olesen J (1991) Epidemiology of headache in a general population: a prevalence study. J Clin Epidemiol 44:1147–1157
- Henry P, Michel P, Brochet B, Dartigues JF, Tison S, Salamon R (1992) A nationwide survey of migraine in France: prevalence and clinical features in adult. Cephalalgia 12:229–237

- 7. Göobel H, Petersen-Braun M, Soyka D (1994) The epidemiology of headache in Germany: a nationwide survey of a representative sample on the basis of the headache classification of the International headache Society. Cephalalgia 14:97–106
- Waters WE, O'Connor PJ (1975)
   Prevalence of migraine. J Neurol
   Neurosurg Psychiatry 38:613–616
- Nikiforow R (1981) Headache in a random sample of 200 persons: a clinical study of a population in northern Finland. Cephalalgia 1:99–107
- D'Alessandro R, Benassi G, Lenzi PL, Gamberini G, Sacquegna T, De Carolis P, Lugaresi E (1988) Epidemiology of headache in the Republic of San Marino. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 51:21–27
- Stewart WF, Lipton R, Celentano DD, Reed ML (1992) Prevalence of migraine headache in the United States. JAMA 267:64–69
- 12. Tekle Haimanot R, Seraw B, Forsgren L, Ekbom K, Ekstedt J (1995)
  Migraine, chronic tension-type headache, and cluster headache in an Ethiopian rural community.
  Cephalalgia 15:482–488

- Manzoni GC, Campari M, Terzano MG, Moretti G, Fanti E (1981) An epidemiological study of headache in a hospital staff. Headache 21:206–210
- Lance JW, Anthony M (1966) Some clinical aspects of migraine. A prospective study of 500 patients. Arch Neurol 15:356–361
- 15. Raskin NH, Appenzeller O (1980) Headache. WB Saunders, Philadelphia
- Ziegler DK (1986) Epidemiology of migraine. In: Rose FC (ed) Handbook of clinical neurology, vol 48. Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, pp 13–22
- 17. Lavados PM, Tenhamm E (1997) Epidemiology of migraine headache in Santiago, Chile: a prevalence study. Cephalalgia 17:770–777
- Roh JK, Kim JS, Ahn YO (1998)
   Epidemiologic and clinical characteristics of migraine and tension-type headache in Korea. Headache 38:356–365
- Rasmussen BK, Olesen J (1992)
   Migraine with aura and migraine without aura: an epidemiological study.
   Cephalalgia 12:221–228