# **BRIEF REPORT**

# Coexistence of cluster headache and paroxysmal hemicrania: does it exist? A case report and literature review

Nilima D. Shah · Sanjay Prakash

Received: 9 December 2008/Accepted: 29 January 2009/Published online: 11 March 2009 © Springer-Verlag 2009

Abstract The coexistence of different types of trigeminal autonomic cephalalgias is a rare phenomenon. The two different types of headache may occur either at two different periods or simultaneously at the same time. We report a 22-year-old male who had cluster headache (CH) and chronic paroxysmal hemicrania (CPH) since the onset of symptoms. Both types of headache responded to indomethacin. Review of the literature suggests that simultaneous occurrence of CH and CPH in a patient may be both over and under reported.

**Keywords** Cluster headache · Paroxysmal hemicrania · Trigeminal autonomic cephalalgias

## Introduction

The coexistence of different primary headache disorders is not rare. However, there are only a few case reports of the coexistence of two different varieties of trigeminal autonomic cephalalgias (TACs) in the same patient. The two different types of headache may occur either at two different time periods or simultaneously at the same time

N. D. Shah Department of Psychiatry, Medical College, Baroda 390001, Gujarat, India

N. D. Shah · S. Prakash Department of Neurology, Medical College, SSG Hospital, Baroda 390001, Gujarat, India

S. Prakash (⊠) O-19, Doctor's Quarter, Jail Road, Baroda 390001, Gujarat, India e-mail: drprakashs@yahoo.co.in [1–5]. Here we report a patient with ipsilateral attacks of cluster headache (CH) and chronic paroxysmal hemicrania (CPH) at the same time. We also review the literature on coexisting CH and paroxysmal hemicrania (PH).

#### Case

A 22-year old male presented with a 13-month history of recurrent daily headache. The pain, strictly on the left side, was centered on the left orbit radiating to frontal and infra orbital areas. The patient described the headache as very severe, sharp, and tearing type. The patient noticed two types of headache, one with a shorter and another with a longer duration. The usual duration of headache was 5-20 min for shorter duration type, and 2-3 h for longer duration type. However, overall the duration of attacks was variable, few minutes to few hours (up to 5 h). The quality and severity of the pain was similar in almost all the episodes. Most of the attacks were associated with ipsilateral lacrimation, conjunctival injection, ptosis and rhinorrhea. There were occasional nausea, photophobia, and phonophobia. The patient denied the presence of any type of symptoms (including mild background headache) between two episodes of headache. During most of the attacks the patient cried or paced. There were occasional nocturnal attacks. No precipitating or aggravating factors were noted. The frequency of attacks was highly variable. He had a history of 10-25 short-lasting attacks in a day. He used to have four to ten attacks of longer duration in a week. The pain used to start abruptly. He could not predict (in early part of the attack) which attacks were going to last longer.

The patient received a lot of drugs before reporting to us. He took paracetamol, naproxen, oral sumatriptan and rizatriptan (as abortive treatment) on many occasions



during the attack. There was history of improvement at several times within few minutes to 60 min after the administration of triptans. However, the patient was not sure about the efficacy of triptans as he had history of frequent attacks of shorter duration. Oxygen inhalation during an attack had never been tried. Prior prophylactic treatment with amitriptyline, flunarizine, paracetamol, naproxen, sodium valproate, and propranolol produced minimal or no effect.

The patient had no prior history of headache. Family history for headache was negative. He was nonalcoholic and a non-smoker. General and neurological examinations between two attacks were normal. Routine haematological and biochemical screening was normal. Magnetic resonance imaging of brain, orbit, pituitary and cervical spine revealed no abnormality. Magnetic resonance angiography was reported as normal.

We started indomethacin at a dose of 25 mg tds. With this dose, he felt marked improvement in the frequency of the 'shorter duration' type of headache. In the next 10 days, the frequency of attacks was about less than one attack in a day. However, the frequency and intensity of the 'longer duration' type of headache remained unchanged. The dosage of indomethacin was gradually increased. At the dose of 50 mg tds, the shorter duration attacks subsided completely and frequency of longer duration attacks decreased to two in the next week. The dosage of indomethacin was further increased. The longer duration attacks subsided completely at the dosage of 100 mg tds (with famotidine for gastric protection). In the next 5 months of follow up (with indomethacin), he did not have any attack of headache.

## Discussion

The TACs, a group of primary headache disorder, include CH, PH, and short-lasting unilateral neuralgiform headache attacks with conjunctival injection and tearing (SUNCT). These differ in attack duration, frequency, and the response to therapy. The duration of an attack of different TACs according to the International Headache Society (IHS) criteria are 5–240 s (SUNCT), 2–30 min (PH), 15–180 min (CH). The frequency of different TACs are: SUNCT (3–200 per day), PH (>5 attacks per day for more than half the time), and CH (1 per alternate day to 8 per day). Response to indomethacin is an essential criterion for the diagnosis of PH [6].

Our patient distinctly recognized two types of headache, short-lasting (5–20 min) and long-lasting attacks (2–5 h). Many authors have suggested a trial of indomethacin at the start of treatment to detect the indomethacin-sensitive group in all patients diagnosed with TACs. Both the types

of attacks showed response to indomethacin. We noted dose-response relation with indomethacin. The short attacks were eliminated at the dose of 50 mg tds. However, 100 mg tds dose was required for cessation of the longer attacks. As the patient showed marked response with indomethacin within a few days, we did not give the trial oxygen inhalation.

The whole spectrum of frequency and duration of the disease was not fitting in any one primary headache disorder. The foot note of IHS diagnostic criteria quote 'During part (but less than half) of the time-course of CH, attacks may be less severe and/or of shorter or longer duration'. However, the frequency of 10–25 attacks in a day (almost daily) was against the diagnosis of CH. In the same way, duration of an attack up to 5 h was against the diagnosis of PH. The absence of background headache ruled out the possibility of hemicrania continua (HC).

The shorter attacks completely fulfilled the IHS criteria for PH. The mean length of an attack of CPH is 17–21 min. The maximum reported duration of an attack is usually 3–4 h [7, 8]. However, Boes et al. [7] in a retrospective study on 74 patients of CPH found one patient with few attacks of 6 h duration. Cittadini et al. [8] in a prospective study on 31 patients of PH reported a patient who had an attack of 48 h. Hence, one possible diagnosis in our case could be CPH, similar to the cases reported by Boes et al. and Cittadini et al. However, our case was different in that long-lasting attacks (up to 5 h) were more frequent. Hence, another possible diagnosis in our case would be simultaneous occurrence of probable chronic cluster headache (CCH) and probable CPH.

To date, we found only five cases in the literature where authors claimed the presence of CH and PH in the same patient (simultaneously or at two different periods of life) (Table 1). The first case report of CPH and CH in a same patient was described by Jotkowitz [1] in a 41-year-old-male in whom the pattern of headache (frequency and duration) changed after 4 years. Initially, he had 1–3 headaches per day, each lasting 45–60 min. Indomethacin was not tried during these periods. Later on, after 4 years, frequency increased up to 8 per day and duration shortened to 20–30 min. The patient showed marked response in the frequency and severity of the headaches with indomethacin (150 mg/day). The author concluded that initial attack was typical of episodic CH and later on, it changed to CPH.

Pearce et al. [2] reported a female patient who had history of migraine (16–20 years of age), episodic cluster headache (ECH) (48–47 years), and PH (a single bout at 58 years) at different periods in life. The patient's single episode of PH showed response to indomethacin after 22 days of therapy. There was no recurrence of PH like headache in about 7 months' follow up even after withdrawal of the drug.



Table 1 Literature review of co-occurrence of cluster headache (CH) and paroxysmal hemicrania (PH)

Author	Age/sex	Features of CH	Features of PH	Interrelation between CH and PH	Treatments	Comments on diagnosis
Jotkowitz [1]	43 Y/M	f:1-3/day d: 45-60 mts	,	CH changed to PH after 4 years	Methysergide and ergot for CH	Changed character of headache
					Indo For PH	(PH) satisfy the IHS criteria of CH
Pearce et al.	58 Y/M	f: daily	f:10-14/day	CH changed to PH after about 9–10 years	Ergot for CH	Only 1 episode of PH (no recurrence after withdrawal of indo) <sup>a</sup>
[2]		d: 30-120 mts	d: 5-40 mts		Partial relief by ergot in PH	
					Com. relief by Indo. in PH	Response by indo. in 22 days
Tehindrazanarivelo	et al. [3]					
Case 1	33 Y/M	f: 2/day	f: 20-30/day	Past history of CH	Verapamil for CH	Only 1 episode of PH (no recurrence after withdrawal of indo) <sup>a</sup>
		d: 120 mts	d: 10-20 mts	Recent attacks include both PH and CH	Indo. for PH	
Case 2	45 Y/M	f:2-3/day	f:15-30/day	Past history of CH	Verapamil for CH	Only 1 episode of PH (no recurrence after withdrawal of indo) <sup>a</sup>
		d: 120 mts	d: 2-30 mts	Recent attacks include both PH and CH	Indo. for PH	
Centonze et al. [4]	42 Y/M	f:2-3/day	f:8-16/day	Past history of CH	Verapamil for CH	Only 1 episode of PH (no recurrence after withdrawal of indo) <sup>a</sup>
		d: 30-120 mts	d: 5–12 mts	Recent attacks include both PH and CH	Indo. for PH	
Gobel et al. <sup>b</sup> [5]	47/M	b	b	b	b	b

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> At least two attacks separated by pain-free remission periods of >1 month are required for IHS criteria of EPH

com complete, d duration, f frequency, Indo Indomethacin, mts minutes, Y years

Tehindrazanarivelo et al. [3] described two cases of ipsilateral CH and CPH. Both patients, who had been suffering from CH for many years (>5 years), developed simultaneous attacks of PH with the attacks of CH. Both patients received indomethacin and verapamil at the same time, and it was concluded that indomethacin was effective for short-lasting attacks and verapamil for long-lasting attacks. However, an attempt to control both the types of attacks with a single drug (either verapamil or indomethacin) with maximal possible dose was not done. In the first case, short-lasting attacks of PH responded to indomethacin (150 mg/day). Verapamil was added for longer attacks and patient responded to 360 mg daily. Indomethacin was tapered off after 6 weeks. There was no recurrence in the next 14 months. In the second patient, verapamil was started as initial drug and long-lasting attacks of CH subsided at the dose of 240 mg daily. Indomethacin (200 mg daily) was added for short-lasting attacks. There was no recurrence of attacks even after tapering off and stopping of indomethacin in about next 12 months.

Another case of simultaneous occurrence of CH and PH was described by Centonze [4] in a 42-year-old male. The patient with the history of ECH for about 6 years developed PH-like attacks (short duration and higher frequency) in a fresh episode of CH. The patient was already on

verapamil (360 mg daily) for ECH. Indomethacin was added, and patient noted complete improvement on shorter attacks and partial improvement of longer attacks at the dose of 225 mg daily. After 3 weeks, indomethacin was successfully discontinued. In about 9 months of follow up, there was no recurrence of shorter attacks.

Gobel et al. [5] reported successive occurrence of trigeminal neuralgia, SUNCT-syndrome, CPH and CH in one active headache period in a 47-year-old male. However, it is difficult to derive any conclusion from this case report (published in abstract form) as the details of CPH and CH are lacking.

The episodic form of PH is less frequent than chronic form of PH (in contrast to CH). There are just a few case reports of episodic form of PH in the literature [9]. Hence, co-occurrence of CH with CPH would be perhaps possible rather than the co-occurrence of CH with EPH, which is itself very rare. However, all the above-reported cases of PH (with CH) are episodic in nature. At least two episodes, separated by at least 1 month of pain remission, are required to satisfy the IHS diagnostic criteria for episodic paroxysmal hemicrania (EPH) [6]. However, no patient had two or more episodes of PH-like attacks (frequency and duration) in the history. Moreover, no patient had a trial of an increased dose of previously effective drugs.



b Details not available

In Pearce et al. case report the response to indomethacin was not immediate. Response occurred 22 days after administration of indomethacin therapy. All reported case series of EPH showed response within 24 h after the administration of indomethacin. This further created the doubt about the diagnosis of PH in this patient.

There is considerable overlap in the diagnostic criteria of CH and PH in the IHS classification. The headache duration of 15–30 min and headache frequency of 5–8 in a day is common for both CH and PH [6]. Even after the change of duration and frequency of headache, Jotkowitz's case satisfies the IHS criteria for CH.

The overlap between CH and PH becomes more evident when one reviews the clinical profiles of both these primary headache disorders. In the patients of CH, the duration of an attack may be as low as 2 min and the frequency may be as high as 30 in a day [10, 11]. The frequency of ECH might increase and of CCH may decrease over the years [12]. There is considerable overlap between CH and PH even in treatment modalities.

Therefore, the aforementioned cases may not be true representations of CH and PH in the same patient. The possibility of fluctuation (frequency and duration) of CH attacks itself (or? atypical form) cannot be ruled out.

Leon et al. [13] reported a 55-year-old woman with recurrent bouts (of 2 months) of headache since her adolescence. In adolescent and adulthood periods, individual attacks were of 20 min. The frequency of attacks was 4-6 times in a day. The attacks were controlled with 150 mg of indomethacin. There was a change in headache periodicity and frequency during 47-55 years of age. There was no remission period during these 8 years and headache frequency increased to 15 attacks in a day. The patient needed 400-500 mg of indomethacin to control this changed pattern of headache. After 8 years of continuous headache attacks, the pattern of headache reverted to the previous pattern (requiring 150 mg indomethacin daily). The authors reported these two types of attacks as EPH and CPH. However, Lipton et al. (after reviewing this case) suggested that her early attack was ECH that evolved into CPH [14]. This case fulfilled the IHS criteria of both HC and CPH (in two different period of life), and it may be the true representation of CH and PH in the same patient.

Blau et al. [15] reported recurrent bouts (11–13 weeks) of headache since the age of 16 in a 40-year-old female. In each bout, during the first and third months she had two to three attacks in a day. In the second month, the frequency of headache used to increase up to one in an hour. Individual attacks persisted for 10–20 min. The patient showed complete response to indomethacin (150 mg daily) and prednisolone separately on two different occasions. The author's diagnosis was EPH. However, she was diagnosed as a case of CH in the past. This case poses a diagnostic

problem, as the frequency of headache attacks does not fit into any IHS classification of primary headache disorders. The headache attacks in the first and third months fulfilled the criteria of CH. However, in the second month of a bout it was EPH. Therefore, this patient might have diagnosis of either EPH with fluctuating frequency, CH with fluctuating frequency or a combination of both EPH and ECH.

Our case was probably an example of co existence of CPH and CCH. It is probably the first case where features of both CH and PH were present since the onset. However, we cannot rule out the possibility of either PH or CH alone (with marked variation in the frequency and duration of attacks). The presence of nausea, photophobia, phonophobia, response of oral triptans on few attacks may suggest overlap of migraine in the patient. However, these features are not uncommon even in pure form of PH and CH [7, 8, 10]. Another unique point in this patient was response to both the types of headache attacks by a single-drug therapy (indomethacin). Response to two different types of headache by a single agent has been reported in only one patient in the literature. Rozen [16] reported a patient with simultaneous occurrence of both HC and CH in the same individual. Both types of headache syndromes responded to verapamil.

Response to indomethacin is an essential criterion for the diagnosis of PH. Indomethacin is considered as a drug ineffective for the patients of CH. Although response to indomethacin is not against the IHS criteria for CH, physicians hesitate in making the diagnosis of CH if a patient shows response to indomethacin, even in those people who clearly fulfil the IHS criteria for CH. There are many reports in the literature where cases of CH were wrongly diagnosed as PH because of the presence of positive response to indomethacin [9, 13, 17]. Indomethacin has never been evaluated systematically for CH prophylaxis. There are many case reports in the literature where indomethacin was effective in the patients of CH [18, 19].

Trigeminal autonomic reflex (TAR) (consists of a brainstem connection between trigeminal nerve and facial parasympathetic outflow) is considered essential for the manifestations of the trigeminal autonomic pain syndromes. Activation of trigeminal autonomic reflex is responsible for the acute attacks of TACs. The triggering factor for the activation of this reflex is probably situated in the hypothalamus or a closely related structure [20]. However, the duration and frequency of headache attacks depend on what side (or type of neuron) of the hypothalamus is activated [20]. In CH hypothalamic activation occurs ipsilateral to the side of the headache while in PH hypothalamic activation occurs contralateral to the side of headache [20]. Activation of two different sites may be a possible explanation for variability in the duration of attacks in our patient.



Our review of the literature suggests that co-occurrence of CH and PH may be both over and under diagnosed. This is because of the overlap in the frequency and pattern of both the diseases. Response to indomethacin is another critical point regarding this issue. One should be very careful in making the diagnosis PH just because of the presence of response to indomethacin. Our case further strengthens the view that marked overlaps exist between CH and PH, and these two may not be two discrete entities (as suggested by many authors) [19]. Moreover, the responses to one single agent for two different headache syndromes in our patient and in Rozen's case report suggests that the dose of previously effective drug should be increased (rather than to add new drug) for the change in the pattern of headache and for co existence of two different types of headache syndrome at the same time.

## Conflict of interest None.

## References

- Jotkowitz S (1978) Chronic paroxysmal hemicrania and cluster. Ann Neurol 4:389
- Pearce SHS, Cox JGC, Pearce JMS (1987) Chronic paroxysmal hemicrania, episodic cluster headache and classic migrainein one patient. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 50:1699–1700
- Tehindrazanarivelo AD, Visy JM, Bousser MG (1992) Ipsilateral cluster headache and chronic paroxysmal hemicrania: two case reports. Cephalalgia 12:318–320
- Centonze V, Bassi A, Causarano V, Dalfino L, Centonze A, Albano O (2000) Simultaneous occurrence of ipsilateral cluster headache and chronic paroxysmal hemicrania: a case report. Headache 40:54–56
- Göbel H, Heinze A, Heinze-Kuhn K (2000) Trigeminal neuralgia, SUNCT syndrome, chronic paroxysmal hemicrania, cluster headache and migraine in one patient: a case report. Cephalalgia 20:330–331

- Headache Classification Subcommittee of the International Headache Society (2004) The International Classification of Headache Disorders, 2nd edn. Cephalalgia 24(Suppl 1):1–160
- Boes CJ, Dodick DW (2002) Refining the clinical spectrum of chronic paroxysmal hemicrania: a review of 74 patients. Headache 42:699–708
- Cittadini E, Matharu MS, Peter J, Goadsby PJ (2008) Paroxysmal hemicrania: a prospective clinical study of 31 cases. Brain 131:1142–1155
- Veloso GG, Kaup AO, Peres MFP, Zukerman E (2001) Episodic paroxysmal hemicrania with seasonal variation. Case report and the EPH-cluster headache continuum hypothesis. Arq Neuropsiquiatr 59(4):944–947
- Schurks M, Kurth T, de Jesus J, Jonjic M, Rosskopf D, Diener HC (2006) Cluster headache: clinical presentation, lifestyle features, and medical treatment. Headache 46:1246–1254
- Bogucki A, Niewodniczy A (1984) Case report: chronic cluster headache with unusual high frequency of attacks. Differential diagnosis with CPH. Headache 24:150–151
- Manzoni GC, Micieli G, Granella F, Tassorelli C, Zanferrari C, Cavallini A (1991) Cluster headache course over ten years in 189 patients. Cephalalgia 11:169–174
- Leon JC, Monton FI (1994) Paroxysmal hemicrania. Neurology 44:2215–2216
- Lipton RB, Newman LC, Solomon S (1994) Paroxysmal hemicrania. Neurology 44:2215–2216
- Blau JN, Engel H (1990) Episodic paroxysmal hemicrania: a further case and review of the literature. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 53:343–344
- Rozen TD (2006) Verapamil-responsive hemicrania continua in apatient with episodic cluster headache. Cephalalgia 26:351–353
- Fuad F, Jones NS (2002) Paroxysmal hemicrania and cluster headache: two discrete entities or is there an overlap? Clin Otolaryngol 27:472–479
- Watson CPN, Evans RJ (1987) Chronic Cluster Headache: A Review of 60 Patients. Headache 27:158–165
- Prakash S, Dholakia SY, Shah KA (2008) A patient with chronic cluster headache responsive to high-dose indomethacin: is there an overlap with chronic paroxysmal hemicrania? Cephalalgia 28:778–781
- May A (2005) Cluster headache: pathogenesis, diagnosis, and management. Lancet 366:843–855

